IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.No. 4764 of 2003

Date of decision : 10.11.2006.

Varinder Kumar

.....Petitioner.

Versus

M/s Axia Engineering Company, The Mall, Patiala & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD K. SHARMA

Present : Mr. Karan Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Abinashi Singh , Advocate
for respondentNo.2.

****

VINOD K. SHARMA,J.(ORAL)

The present revision petition has been filed against the order passed by the learned Rent Controller, Patiala allowing the application moved under Section 19 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949, vide which sanction was accorded for prosecution of the petitioner for claiming house tax from the respondent qua the demised premises.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter has been compromised between the parties, the offence is compoundable and, therefore, prays that in view of the compromise between the parties the impugned order sanctioning prosecution be set aside. Mr. Abinashi Singh, counsel appearing for the respondent No.2 accepts the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and has admitted the factum of compromise between the parties.

In view of the statement made by the parties, this revision petition is allowed and impugned order is set aside.

10.11.2006 **(VINOD K. SHARMA)**
'sp' **JUDGE**